

OPEN QUANTUM RANDOM WALKS AND ASSOCIATED QUANTUM MARKOV CHAINS

AMEUR DHAHRI AND FARRUKH MUKHAMEDOV

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we establish a connection between Open Quantum Random Walks and the Quantum Markov Chains. In particular, we construct two kinds of Quantum Markov Chains associated with Open Quantum Random Walks. Moreover, we study the recurrence and the property of accessibility associated to these Quantum Markov Chains.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L35, 46L55, 46A37.

Key words: Open quantum random walk; quantum Markov chain; recurrence, accessibility.

1. INTRODUCTION

Random walks [14] are a useful mathematical concept, which found successful applications in many branches of natural sciences. There are several kinds of approaches to investigate quantum analogies of random walks (see [16, 17]). Very recently, in [8] a new family of quantum random walks, called Open Quantum Random Walks (OQRWs) has been introduced. These random walks deal with density matrices instead of pure states, that is, on a state space $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ they consider density matrices of the form

$$\rho = \sum_i \rho_i \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|.$$

To this density matrix is attached a probability distribution, associated with the values one would obtain by measuring the position:

$$Prob(\{i\}) = \text{Tr}(\rho_i).$$

After one step of the dynamics, the density matrix evolves to another state of the same form

$$\rho' = \sum_i \rho'_i \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|.$$

with the associated new distribution. It is proved that these open quantum random walks are a noncommutative extension of all the classical Markov chains, i.e. they contain all the classical Markov chains as particular cases, but they also describe typically quantum behaviors. Furthermore, in [9, 17, 18, 22] long term behavior of these quantum random walks have been studied. Note that OQRWs is defined by a completely positive mapping given by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{M}(\rho) = \sum_i \left(\sum_j B_j^i \rho_j B_j^{i*} \right) \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|, \quad \rho = \sum_i \rho_i \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|, \quad \sum_i \text{Tr}(\rho_i) = 1,$$

where the B_j^i are matrices associated to a transition from site $|j\rangle$ to site $|i\rangle$, for all i, j [8]. It is imposed the condition $\sum_i B_j^{i*} B_j^i = I$ for all j . In recent works [11, 12, 19] it has been studied irreducibility and periodicity aspects of the mapping (1.1).

On the other hand, it is known [1, 4] that a Quantum Markov Chain (QMC) is a quantum generalization of a classical Markov Chain where the state space is a Hilbert space, and the transition probability matrix of a Markov chain is replaced by a transition amplitude matrix,

which describes the mathematical formalism of the discrete time evolution of open quantum systems (see [2, 4, 21] for more details).

The quantum analogues of Markov chains were first constructed in [1], where the notion of quantum Markov chain on infinite tensor product algebras was introduced. In [5, 6] it was introduced stopping times for QMC, and studied recurrence and transience in terms of the stopping times. The reader is referred to [3, 10, 13, 20] and the references cited therein, for recent developments of the theory and the applications.

In [7] it was studied a construction of QMC associated with unitary quantum random walks. Hence, it is natural to construct and investigate QMC associated with OQRWs. In this paper, we discuss the construction of Quantum Markov Chains from Open Quantum Random Walks, and we examine the notions of recurrence. Moreover, we study the classification of projection for the property of accessibility. Note that in [18] a notion of recurrence is studied for some classes of OQRWs. We also mention that transience and recurrence have been studied in [15] for quantum Markov semigroups. In our paper, we propose to study the recurrence of OQRWs from QMC perspectives.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall basic concepts related to the Open Quantum Random Walks. We further describe the notions of Quantum Markov Chains and $\mathcal{E}$ -recurrence and φ -recurrence, respectively, this is given in section 3. Finally, in section 4, we construct two kinds of Quantum Markov Chains associated with Open Quantum Random Walks, and we study the notions of recurrence and accessibility property.

2. OPEN QUANTUM RANDOM WALKS

In this section we recall basic setup to define Open Quantum Random Walks (OQRW).

Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote a separable Hilbert space and let $\{|i\rangle\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be an orthonormal basis indexed by the vertices of some graph Λ . Here the set Λ of vertices might be finite or countable. The elements of such basis will be called sites (or vertices). Let $\mathcal{H}$ be another Hilbert space, which will describe the degrees of freedom given at each point of Λ . Then we will consider the space $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. For each pair i, j one associates a bounded operator B_j^i on $\mathcal{H}$. This operator describes the effect of passing from $|j\rangle$ to $|i\rangle$. We will assume that for each j , one has

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_i B_j^{i*} B_j^i = \mathbf{1},$$

where, if infinite, such series is strongly convergent. This constraint means: the sum of all the effects leaving site j is $\mathbf{1}$. The operators B_j^i act on $\mathcal{H}$ only, we dilate them as operators on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ by putting

$$M_j^i = B_j^i \otimes |i\rangle\langle j|.$$

The operator M_j^i encodes exactly the idea that while passing from $|j\rangle$ to $|i\rangle$ on the lattice, the effect is the operator B_j^i on $\mathcal{H}$.

According to [8] one has

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i,j} M_j^{i*} M_j^i = \mathbf{1}.$$

Therefore, the operators $(M_j^i)_{i,j}$ define a completely positive mapping

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{M}(\rho) = \sum_i \sum_j M_j^i \rho M_j^{i*}$$

on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

In what follows, we consider density matrices on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ with the particular form

$$\rho = \sum_i \rho_i \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|,$$

assuming that $\sum_i \text{Tr}(\rho_i) = 1$.

For a given initial state of such form, the Open Quantum Random Walk (OQRW) is defined by the mapping $\mathcal{M}$, which has the following form

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{M}(\rho) = \sum_i \left(\sum_j B_j^i \rho_j B_j^{i*} \right) \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|.$$

Hence, a measurement of the position in $\mathcal{K}$ would give that each site i is occupied with probability

$$\sum_j \text{Tr} (B_j^i \rho_j B_j^{i*}) .$$

If the measurement is performed after two steps, i.e.

$$\mathcal{M}^2(\rho) = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k B_j^i B_k^j \rho_k B_k^{j*} B_j^{i*} \otimes |i\rangle\langle i| .$$

Hence measuring the position, we get the site $|i\rangle$ with probability

$$\sum_j \sum_k \text{Tr} \left(B_j^i B_k^j \rho_k B_k^{j*} B_j^{i*} \right) .$$

The random walk which is described this way by the iteration of the completely positive map $\mathcal{M}$ is not a classical random walk, it is a quantum random walk.

In this paper, we will show that the above appeared distributions of OQRW will define a quantum Markov chain. This allows us treat such quantum walks in framework of QMC.

3. QUANTUM MARKOV CHAINS AND RECURRENCE

In this section, we recall a definition of quantum Markov chains [1, 3, 4] and define a new notion called φ -recurrence.

For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, (here $\mathbb{Z}_+$ denotes the set of all non negative integers) let us associate identical copies of a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and C^* -subalgebra M_0 of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\{i\}} = \mathcal{H},$$

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\{i\}} = M_0 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

We assume that any minimal projection in M_0 is one dimensional.

For any bounded $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_\Lambda = \bigotimes_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_i$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \overline{\left(\bigcup_{\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}_+, |\Lambda| < \infty} \mathcal{A}_\Lambda \right)} =: \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{A}_i$$

where the bar denotes the norm closure.

For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let J_i be the canonical injection of M_0 to the i -th component of $\mathcal{A}$. For each $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}_+$ we identify $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$.

The basic ingredients in the construction of a stationary generalized quantum Markov chain in the sense of Accardi and Frigerio [4] consist of a completely positive unital map (c.p.u. map) $\mathcal{E} : M_0 \otimes M_0 \rightarrow M_0$, called a *transition expectation*:

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1},$$

and a state ϕ_0 on M_0 . In what follows, a pair $(\phi_0, \mathcal{E})$ is called a *Markov pair*.

A state φ defined on $\mathcal{A}$ associated with a Markov pair $(\phi_0, \mathcal{E})$, is called *Quantum Markov Chain (QMC)* if

$$(3.4) \quad \varphi(x_0 \otimes x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n) = \phi_0(\mathcal{E}(x_0 \otimes \mathcal{E}(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{E}(x_n \otimes \mathbf{1}) \dots))).$$

In what follows, by $\mathcal{A}_n$ we denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$, generated by the first $(n+1)$ factors, i.e.

$$a_n] = a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes \mathbf{1}_{[n+1]} = J_0(a_0)J_1(a_1) \dots J_n(a_n),$$

with $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in M_0$. It is well known [2] that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a unique completely positive identity preserving mapping $E_n] : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n]$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad E_n](a_m]) = a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{E}(a_n \otimes \mathcal{E}(a_{n+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{E}(a_m \otimes \mathbf{1}) \dots)), \quad m > n$$

Remark 3.1. We notice that if the state ϕ_0 satisfies the following condition:

$$(3.6) \quad \phi_0(\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{1} \otimes x)) = \phi_0(x), \quad x \in M_0$$

then the Markov pair $(\phi_0, \mathcal{E})$ defines local states

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi_{[i,n]}(x_i \otimes x_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_n) = \phi_0(\mathcal{E}(x_i \otimes \mathcal{E}(x_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{E}(x_n \otimes \mathbf{1}) \dots))).$$

The family of local states $\{\varphi_{[i,n]}\}$, due to (3.3), (3.6), satisfies a compatibility condition, and therefore, the state φ is well defined on $A_{\mathbb{Z}} := \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_i$. Moreover, φ is translation invariant, i.e. it is invariant with respect to the shift α , i.e. $\alpha(J_n(a)) = J_{n+1}(a)$.

Recall that by Tr we denote the trace on M_0 which takes the value 1 at each minimal projection, and let $\widetilde{\text{Tr}}$ be the trace on $M_0 \otimes M_0$. Denote by $\widetilde{\text{Tr}}^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$, the partial traces defined by

$$(3.8) \quad \widetilde{\text{Tr}}^{(1)}(a \otimes b) = \text{Tr}(a)b, \quad \widetilde{\text{Tr}}^{(2)}(a \otimes b) = \text{Tr}(b)a$$

In [21] it was given a construction of a quantum Markov chain defined by a set $\{K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of conditional density amplitudes [1]. Namely, let $W_0 \in M_0$ be a density matrix and $\{K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a set of the Hilbert-Schmidt operators in $M_0 \otimes M_0$ satisfying

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_i \|K_i\|^2 < \infty, \\ \sum_i \widetilde{\text{Tr}}^{(2)}(K_i K_i^*) = \mathbf{1}.$$

Then the corresponding transition expectation [4]

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{E}(A) = \sum_i \widetilde{\text{Tr}}^{(2)}(K_i A K_i^*), \quad A \in M_0 \otimes M_0.$$

and the density operator W_0 form a Markov pair $(W_0, \mathcal{E})$.

Remark 3.2. We point out if additionally W_0 satisfies

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_i \widetilde{\text{Tr}}^{(1)}(K_i^*(W_0 \otimes \mathbf{1})K_i) = W_0.$$

then the associated QMC is well defined on the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Following [5] we recall a definition of the stopping time associated with a projection $e \in M_0$, which is a sequence $\{\tau_k\}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 &= e \otimes \mathbf{1}_{[1]} = J_0(e), \\ \tau_1 &= e^\perp \otimes e \otimes \mathbf{1}_{[2]} = J_0(e^\perp)J_1(e), \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ \tau_k &= \underbrace{e^\perp \otimes \dots \otimes e^\perp}_k \otimes e \otimes \mathbf{1}_{[k+1]} = J_0(e^\perp) \dots J_k(e^\perp)J_k(e), \\ \tau_\infty^n &= \underbrace{e^\perp \otimes \dots \otimes e^\perp}_{n+1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{[n+1]} = J_0(e^\perp) \dots J_n(e^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence $\{\tau_\infty^n\}$ is decreasing, therefore, its strong limit exists in $\mathcal{A}''$, and it is denoted by

$$\tau_\infty := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_\infty^n$$

One can see that

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} \tau_k = \mathbf{1} - \tau_\infty,$$

where the sum is meant in the strong topology in $\mathcal{A}''$.

Definition 3.3. Let φ be a QMC on $\mathcal{A}$ associated with the pair $(\phi_0, \mathcal{E})$. A projection e is called

(i) $\mathcal{E}$ -completely accessible if

$$E_{[0]}(\tau_\infty) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{[0]}(\tau_\infty^n) = 0;$$

(i) φ -completely accessible if $\varphi(\tau_\infty) = 0$;

(iii) $\mathcal{E}$ -recurrent if $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{E}(e \otimes \mathbf{1})) < \infty$ and one has

$$\frac{1}{\text{Tr}(\mathcal{E}(e \otimes \mathbf{1}))} \text{Tr} \left(E_{[0]} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} J_0(e) \otimes \tau_k \right) \right) = 1$$

(iv) φ -recurrent if $\varphi(J_0(e)) \neq 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(J_0(e))} \varphi \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} J_0(e) \otimes \tau_k \right) = 1$$

Definition 3.4. Let φ be a QMC on $\mathcal{A}$ associated with the pair $(\phi_0, \mathcal{E})$ and e, f be two projections in M_0 . A projection f is called

(i) $\mathcal{E}$ -accessible from e if there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$E_{[0]}(J_0(e) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{[n-1]} \otimes J_n(f)) \neq 0;$$

(ii) φ -accessible from e (we denote it as $e \rightarrow^\varphi f$) if there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\varphi(J_0(e) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{[n-1]} \otimes J_n(f)) \neq 0.$$

If $e \rightarrow^\varphi f$ and $f \rightarrow^\varphi e$, then e and f are called φ -communicate and one denotes $e \leftrightarrow^\varphi f$.

Remark 3.5. We notice that the $\mathcal{E}$ -accessibility, $\mathcal{E}$ -recurrence have been introduced and studied in [5]. From the definitions one can infer that, due to the translation-invariance of QMC φ , $\mathcal{E}$ -accessibility and $\mathcal{E}$ -recurrence imply φ -accessibility and φ -recurrence, respectively. The reverse is not true (see Example 4.5).

Now we are going to study several properties of φ -accessibility and φ -recurrence, respectively.

Theorem 3.6. *Let φ be a QMC on $\mathcal{A}$ associated with the pair $(\phi_0, \mathcal{E})$. The following statements hold:*

(i) $\varphi(J_n(e)) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $\varphi(\beta^k(\tau_\infty)) = 1$, where

$$\beta(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \mathbf{1} \otimes a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n, \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N};$$

(ii) e is φ -recurrent if and only if $\varphi(J_0(e) \otimes \tau_\infty) = 0$. In particular, if e is φ -completely accessible, then e is φ -recurrent;

(iii) if φ is faithful, then e is φ -completely accessible if and only if e is φ -recurrent;

(iv) if all projections in M_0 φ -communicate and e is φ -recurrent, then e is φ -completely accessible.

Proof. (i) Let $\varphi(J_n(e)) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathbf{1}_m \otimes \tau_k \leq \mathbf{1}_{m+k-1} \otimes J_{m+k}(e) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{[m+k+1]},$$

therefore, one finds $\varphi(\tau_k) \leq \varphi(J_{m+k}(e)) = 0$. Hence, from (3.12) one gets $\varphi(\beta^m(\tau_\infty)) = 1$.

Now assume that $\varphi(\beta^m(\tau_\infty)) = 1$ any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then again from (3.12) we obtain

$$\varphi\left(\beta^m\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \tau_k\right)\right) = 0,$$

which implies $\varphi(\beta^m(\tau_k)) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This means $\varphi(\beta^m(\tau_0)) = \varphi(J_m(e)) = 0$.

(ii) Let e be φ -recurrent. Then from the definition and (3.12) one finds

$$\varphi(J_0(e)) = \varphi\left(J_0(e) \otimes \sum_{k \geq 0} \tau_k\right) = \varphi(J_m(e)) - \varphi(J_m(e) \otimes \tau_\infty),$$

which means $\varphi(J_0(e) \otimes \tau_\infty) = 0$. The reverse implication is obvious.

(iii) if φ is faithful, then φ -completely accessibility is equivalent to $\tau_\infty = 0$, then from (ii) we have that e is φ -recurrent. Conversely, if e is φ -recurrent, then due to the faithfulness of φ with (ii) one gets $J_0(e) \otimes \tau_\infty = 0$, so $\tau_\infty = 0$ which means φ -completely accessibility of e .

(iv) Assume that e is not φ -completely accessible, this means $\varphi(\tau_\infty) > 0$. Due to φ -recurrence one has $\varphi(J_0(e) \otimes \tau_\infty) = 0$, which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(J_0(e) \otimes \tau_\infty^n) = 0.$$

The last equality yields that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(J_0(e) \otimes J_1(e) \otimes \tau_\infty^n) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(J_0(e) \otimes J_1(e^\perp) \otimes \tau_\infty^n) = 0,$$

so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(J_0(e) \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \tau_\infty^n) = 0.$$

Hence, iterating the last equality, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ one finds

$$(3.13) \quad \varphi(J_0(e) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k-1} \otimes \tau_\infty) = 0.$$

Since $\varphi(\tau_\infty) > 0$, then one can find a projection $p \in M_0$ ($p \neq 0$) such that $\tau_\infty \geq \lambda p$ for some positive number λ . Then from (3.13) we infer that

$$\varphi(J_0(e) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k-1} \otimes p) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \varphi(J_0(e) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k-1} \otimes \tau_\infty) = 0$$

this implies that e and p are not φ -communicate. This is a contradiction. This completes the proof. $\square$

4. QUANTUM MARKOV CHAINS ASSOCIATED WITH OQRW

In this section, we are going to construct two kinds of QMCs associated with OQRW.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a OQRW given by (2.4). In this section we will use notations from the previous sections.

Take a density operator $\rho \in B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, of the form

$$\rho = \sum_i \rho_i \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|,$$

where $\rho_i \neq 0$ for all i .

We are going to construct a QMC associated with ρ and $\mathcal{M}$. To do so, let us denote

$$I_\rho = \{i \in \Lambda : \rho_i = 0\}.$$

By $\mathcal{K}_\rho$ we denote a subspace of $\mathcal{K}$ generated by the basis $\{|i\rangle\langle i|\}_{i \in I_\rho}$. Put $\mathcal{K}^\rho = \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{K}_\rho$. In what follows, we consider the algebra

$$\mathcal{A}^\rho = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{A}_i,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_i = B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}^\rho)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Now we consider the OQRW associated with $\{M_j^i\}_{i \in \Lambda, j \in I_\rho^c}$, here $I_\rho^c = \Lambda \setminus I_\rho$. From (2.2) we infer that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{i \in \Lambda, j \in I_\rho^c} M_j^{i*} M_j^i = \mathbf{1},$$

here the identity operator defined on $B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}^\rho)$.

Define the following operators:

$$(4.2) \quad A_{ij} = \frac{1}{(\text{Tr}(\rho_j))^{1/2}} (\rho_j^{1/2} \otimes |i\rangle\langle j|), \quad i \in \Lambda, j \in I_\rho^c,$$

$$(4.3) \quad K_{ij} = M_j^{i*} \otimes A_{ij}.$$

Let us show that the pair $(\rho, \{K_{ij}\})$ defines a QMC on $\mathcal{A}^\rho$.

Let us check the condition (3.9) which follows from (4.1). Indeed, one has

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Tr}^{(2)} \left(\sum_{i,j} K_{ij} K_{ij}^* \right) &= \sum_{i,j} M_j^{i*} M_j^i \frac{\text{Tr}(\rho_j \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_j)} \\ &= \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, one can define a quantum Markov chain φ corresponding to the pair $(\rho, \mathcal{E})$, where the transition expectation $\mathcal{E}$ has the following form (see (3.10)):

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{E}(x \otimes y) = \sum_{i,j} M_j^{i*} x M_j^i \frac{\text{Tr}(\rho_j \otimes |j\rangle\langle j| y)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_j)}.$$

Now it is interesting to know whether the defined QMC can be extended to the algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\rho} := \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_i.$$

The next result gives an affirmative answer to this question.

Theorem 4.1. *The QMC φ associated with the Markov pair $(\rho, \mathcal{E})$ can be extended to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\rho}$.*

Proof. The extension of φ on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by (3.7). It is compatible, if the condition (3.11) is satisfied. Therefore, we check (3.11) for (4.3). Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}^{(1)} \left(\sum_{i,j} K_{ij}^* (\rho \otimes \mathbf{1}) K_{ij} \right) &= \text{Tr}^{(1)} \left(\sum_{i,j} M_j^i \otimes A_{ij}^* (\rho \otimes \mathbf{1}) M_j^{i*} \otimes A_{ij} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \text{Tr}^{(1)} [(M_j^i \rho M_j^{i*}) \otimes A_{ij}^* A_{ij}] \\ (4.6) \quad &= \sum_{i,j} \text{Tr}(M_j^i \rho M_j^{i*}) \frac{\rho_j \otimes |j\rangle\langle j|}{\text{Tr}(\rho_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now using

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(M_j^i \rho M_j^{i*}) &= \text{Tr}(B_j^i \otimes |i\rangle\langle j| \rho B_j^{i*} |j\rangle\langle i|) \\ &= \sum_{\ell} \text{Tr}(B_j^i \otimes |i\rangle\langle j| \rho_{\ell} \otimes |\ell\rangle\langle \ell| B_j^{i*} |j\rangle\langle i|) \\ &= \text{Tr}(B_j^i \rho_j B_j^{i*} |i\rangle\langle i|) \\ &= \text{Tr}(B_j^i \rho_j B_j^{i*}) \\ (4.7) \quad &= \text{Tr}(B_j^{i*} B_j^i \rho_j) \end{aligned}$$

from (4.7) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}^{(1)} \left(\sum_{i,j} K_{ij}^* (\rho \otimes \mathbf{1}) K_{ij} \right) &= \sum_{i,j} \text{Tr}(B_j^{i*} B_j^i \rho_j) \frac{\rho_j \otimes |j\rangle\langle j|}{\text{Tr}(\rho_j)} \\ &= \sum_j \text{Tr} \left(\sum_i B_j^{i*} B_j^i \rho_j \right) \frac{\rho_j \otimes |j\rangle\langle j|}{\text{Tr}(\rho_j)} \\ &= \sum_j \text{Tr}(\rho_j) \frac{\rho_j \otimes |j\rangle\langle j|}{\text{Tr}(\rho_j)} \\ &= \sum_j \rho_j \otimes |j\rangle\langle j| \\ (4.8) \quad &= \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the above defined QMC can be extended to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\rho}$. □

Theorem 4.2. *The QMC φ on $\mathcal{A}^{\rho}$ has the following form:*

$$(4.9) \quad \varphi(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = \sum_v \text{Tr}(\rho_v) \psi_v(x_1) \psi_v(x_1) \psi_v(x_2) \cdots \psi_v(x_n),$$

where

$$(4.10) \quad \psi_v(x) = \frac{1}{\text{Tr}(\rho_v)} \sum_i \text{Tr}(B_v^i \rho_v (B_v^i)^* \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|x), \quad v \in I_\rho^c.$$

To prove the theorem we need the following auxiliary fact.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\rho = \sum_\ell \rho_\ell \otimes |\ell\rangle\langle\ell|$. Then one has:*

- (i) $\text{Tr}(\rho M_v^{u*} x M_v^u) = \text{Tr}(B_v^u \rho_v B_v^{u*} \otimes |u\rangle\langle u|x);$
- (ii) $\text{Tr}(\rho_v \otimes |v\rangle\langle v| M_j^{i*} x M_j^i) = \text{Tr}(B_j^i \rho_v B_j^{i*} \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|x) \delta_{vj}.$

Proof. (i). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\rho M_v^{u*} x M_v^u) &= \sum_\ell \text{Tr}(\rho_\ell \otimes |\ell\rangle\langle\ell| M_v^{u*} x M_v^u) \\ &= \sum_\ell \text{Tr}(B_v^u \otimes |u\rangle\langle v| (\rho_\ell \otimes |\ell\rangle\langle\ell|) (B_v^{u*} \otimes |v\rangle\langle u|x)) \\ &= \sum_\ell \text{Tr}(B_v^u \otimes |u\rangle\langle v| (\rho_\ell \otimes |\ell\rangle\langle\ell|) (B_v^{u*} \otimes |v\rangle\langle u|x)) \\ &= \text{Tr}(B_v^u \rho_v B_v^{u*} \otimes |u\rangle\langle u|x). \end{aligned}$$

The equality (ii) can be proven by the same manner. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.2. It is enough to prove for the case $n = 2$ since the general formula can be proved by the induction. From (4.5) taking into account Lemma 4.3 one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_1 \otimes x_2) &= \text{Tr}(\rho \mathcal{E}(x_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}(x_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}))) \\ &= \text{Tr}\left(\rho \mathcal{E}(x_1 \otimes \left(\sum_{i,j} M_j^{i*} x_2 M_j^i\right))\right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \text{Tr}(\rho \mathcal{E}(x_1 \otimes M_j^{i*} x_2 M_j^i)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \text{Tr}\left(\rho \left(\sum_{u,v} M_v^{u*} x_1 M_v^u\right)\right) \frac{\text{Tr}(\rho_v \otimes |v\rangle\langle v| M_j^{i*} x_2 M_j^i)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_v)} \\ &= \sum_{u,v} \text{Tr}(\rho M_v^{u*} x_1 M_v^u) \sum_{i,j} \frac{\text{Tr}(\rho_v \otimes |v\rangle\langle v| M_j^{i*} x_2 M_j^i)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_v)} \\ &= \sum_{u,v} \text{Tr}(B_v^u \rho_v B_v^{u*} \otimes |u\rangle\langle u|x_1) \sum_i \frac{\text{Tr}(B_v^i \rho_v B_v^{i*} \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|x_2)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_v)} \\ &= \sum_v \text{Tr}(\rho_v) \psi_v(x_1) \psi_v(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Using the same idea of the proof we can get the following

Corollary 4.4. *For any projection $e \in B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}^\rho)$ one has*

$$\mathcal{E}(e \otimes E_{0j}(\tau_\infty^n)) = \sum_{u,v} M_v^{u*} e M_v^u (\psi_v(e^\perp))^{n+1}$$

Example 4.5. Let us consider a stationary OQRW on $\mathbb{Z}$ with nearest-neighbor jumps (see [8]). Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $B, C \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $B^*B + C^*C = \mathbf{1}$. We define the walk as follows: assume that $B_i^{i-1} = B$ and $B_i^{i+1} = C$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, all the others B_j^i being equal to 0. Then one can calculate that

$$(4.11) \mathcal{M}(\rho) = \sum_j (B \otimes |j-1\rangle\langle j| \rho B^* \otimes |j\rangle\langle j-1| + C \otimes |j+1\rangle\langle j| \rho C^* \otimes |j+1\rangle\langle j|).$$

Take a density operator $\rho \in B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, of the form

$$\rho = \sum_i \rho_i \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|,$$

with $\rho_i \neq 0$ for all i .

Take a projection $e = q \otimes |k\rangle\langle k|$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a projection $p \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Then due to Corollary 4.4 and (4.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(e \otimes E_{0j}(\tau_\infty^n)) &= \sum_\ell B^* \otimes |\ell\rangle\langle \ell-1| e B \otimes |\ell-1\rangle\langle \ell| (\psi_\ell(e^\perp))^n \\ &\quad + \sum_\ell C^* \otimes |\ell\rangle\langle \ell+1| e B \otimes |\ell+1\rangle\langle \ell| (\psi_\ell(e^\perp))^n \\ &= B^* q B \otimes |k+1\rangle\langle k+1| (\psi_{k+1}(e^\perp))^n \\ &\quad + C^* q C \otimes |k-1\rangle\langle k-1| (\psi_{k-1}(e^\perp))^n \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Taking into account (4.10) one finds

$$(4.13) \quad \psi_\ell(e^\perp) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\text{Tr}(B \rho_{k+1} B^* q)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_{k+1})}, & \text{if } \ell = k+1 \\ 1 - \frac{\text{Tr}(C \rho_{k-1} C^* q)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_{k-1})}, & \text{if } \ell = k-1 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, from (4.12) with (4.13) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(e \otimes E_{0j}(\tau_\infty^n)) &= B^* q B \otimes |k+1\rangle\langle k+1| \left(1 - \frac{\text{Tr}(B \rho_{k+1} B^* q)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_{k+1})}\right)^n \\ &\quad + C^* q C \otimes |k-1\rangle\langle k-1| \left(1 - \frac{\text{Tr}(C \rho_{k-1} C^* q)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_{k-1})}\right)^n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Hence, if one has

$$(4.15) \quad \text{Tr}(B \rho_{k+1} B^* q) < \text{Tr}(\rho_{k+1}), \quad \text{Tr}(C \rho_{k-1} C^* q) < \text{Tr}(\rho_{k-1})$$

then from (4.14) we infer that $\mathcal{E}(e \otimes E_{0j}(\tau_\infty^n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ which according to [5, Theorem 1, (iii)] implies that e is $\mathcal{E}$ -recurrent.

Now let us look for the φ -recurrence. From (4.9) we have

$$\varphi(e \otimes \underbrace{e^\perp \otimes \cdots \otimes e^\perp}_n) = \sum_\ell \text{Tr}(\rho_\ell) \psi_\ell(e) (\psi_\ell(e^\perp))^n$$

From

$$\psi_\ell(e) = \begin{cases} \frac{\text{Tr}(B\rho_{k+1}B^*q)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_{k+1})}, & \text{if } \ell = k+1 \\ \frac{\text{Tr}(C\rho_{k-1}C^*q)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_{k-1})}, & \text{if } \ell = k-1 \end{cases}$$

with (4.13) we obtain

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(e \otimes \tau_\infty^n) &= \text{Tr}(B\rho_{k+1}B^*q) \left(1 - \frac{\text{Tr}(B\rho_{k+1}B^*q)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_{k+1})}\right)^n \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(C\rho_{k-1}C^*q) \left(1 - \frac{\text{Tr}(C\rho_{k-1}C^*q)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_{k-1})}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, if (4.15) is satisfied then e is φ -recurrent. This means that under the condition (4.15) the $\mathcal{E}$ -recurrence is equivalent to the φ -recurrence.

If one of the following conditions

- (a) $\text{supp}(\rho_{k+1})\text{supp}(B) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}(C\rho_{k-1}C^*q) < \text{Tr}(\rho_{k-1})$,
- (b) $\text{supp}(\rho_{k-1})\text{supp}(C) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}(B\rho_{k+1}B^*q) < \text{Tr}(\rho_{k+1})$,
- (c) $\text{supp}(\rho_{k+1})\text{supp}(B) = 0$ and $\text{supp}(\rho_{k-1})\text{supp}(C) = 0$,

is satisfied, then from (4.16) one gets that e is still φ -recurrent, while it is not $\mathcal{E}$ -recurrent.

Now we define another kind of transition expectation $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} : B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}^\rho) \otimes B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}^\rho) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}^\rho)$ related to (4.5) as follows:

$$(4.17) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x \otimes y) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\text{Tr}(\rho_j \otimes |j\rangle\langle j|x)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_j)} (M_j^i)^* y M_j^i.$$

In particular, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{1} \otimes y) = \mathcal{M}^*(y).$$

Hence, the Markov pair $(\rho, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ also defines another kind of QMC $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{A}^\rho$.

Theorem 4.6. *If ρ is invariant state of $\mathcal{M}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{M}(\rho) = \rho$), then the QMC $\tilde{\varphi}$ can be extended to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\rho$. Moreover, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is translation invariant.*

Proof. It is enough to check the equality (3.6) for the pair $(\rho, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$. From (4.17) we have

$$\varphi_0(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{1} \otimes x)) = \text{Tr}(\rho \mathcal{M}^*(x)) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{M}(\rho)x) = \text{Tr}(\rho x) = \varphi_0(x).$$

Correspondingly, the equality

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = \text{Tr}(\rho \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x_1 \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x_n \otimes \mathbf{1}))) \cdots))$$

defines a QMC on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\rho$. □

Remark 4.7. The proved theorem provides only sufficient condition for the extendability of $\tilde{\varphi}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\rho$. Below, we provide (see Corollary 4.11) where without the invariance of ρ the state also extendable to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\rho$.

Remark 4.8. We note that if $\rho = \sum_i \rho_i \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|$ is an invariant density operator w.r.t. $\mathcal{M}$, then one has

$$(4.18) \quad \sum_j B_j^i \rho_j B_j^{i*} = \rho_i, \quad \forall i \in \Lambda.$$

Multiply the above equation by $B_i^{i_1}$ and $B_i^{i_1*}$ on the left and right, respectively, and summing over i we get

$$(4.19) \quad \sum_{i,j} B_i^{i_1} B_j^i \rho_j B_j^{i*} B_i^{i_1*} = \sum_i B_i^{i_1} \rho_i B_i^{i_1*} = \rho_{i_1}, \quad \forall i \in \Lambda.$$

By induction, we can establish that if ρ is invariant, then one has

$$(4.20) \quad \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} B_{i_n}^i B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n} \cdots B_{i_1}^{i_2} \rho_{i_1} B_{i_1}^{i_2*} \cdots B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n*} B_{i_n}^{i*} = \rho_i, \quad \forall i \in \Lambda.$$

The existence of invariant density operators for OQRW $\mathcal{M}$ has been studied in [18].

Lemma 4.9. *One has*

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x_1 \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x_n \otimes \mathbf{1})) \cdots) &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} (B_{i_1}^{i_2*} B_{i_2}^{i_3*} \cdots B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n*} B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n} \cdots B_{i_2}^{i_3} B_{i_1}^{i_2} \otimes |i_1\rangle\langle i_1|) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots \varphi_{i_n}(x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.22) \quad \varphi_k(x) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\rho_k \otimes |k\rangle\langle k|x)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_k)}.$$

Proof. Let us prove for $n = 2$. Then from (4.17) with (4.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x_1 \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x_2 \otimes \mathbf{1})) &= \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_1 \otimes \left(\sum_{i,j} M_j^{i*} M_j^i \varphi_j(x_2)\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_1 \otimes (B_j^{i*} B_j^i \otimes |j\rangle\langle j|)\right) \varphi_j(x_2) \\ &= \sum_j \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(x_1 \otimes (\mathbf{1} \otimes |j\rangle\langle j|)\right) \varphi_j(x_2) \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{u,v} M_v^{u*} (\mathbf{1} \otimes |j\rangle\langle j|) M_v^u \varphi_v(x_1) \varphi_j(x_2) \\ &= \sum_{j,v} (B_v^{j*} B_v^j \otimes |v\rangle\langle v|) \varphi_v(x_1) \varphi_j(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that (4.21) is true at $n = 2$. General setting can be proved by the same argument. $\square$

Corollary 4.10. *The QMC $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{A}^\rho$ has the following form*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_0 \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = \sum_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \text{Tr}\left(B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n} \cdots B_{i_1}^{i_2} B_{i_0}^{i_1} \rho_{i_0} B_{i_0}^{i_1*} B_{i_1}^{i_2*} \cdots B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n*}\right) \varphi_{i_0}(x_0) \cdots \varphi_{i_n}(x_n),$$

Corollary 4.11. *Let $\rho = \rho_0 \otimes |\ell\rangle\langle\ell|$ for some $\ell \in \Lambda$. Then the corresponding QMC $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{A}^\rho$ has the following form*

$$(4.23) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x_0 \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = \varphi_0(x_0) \cdots \varphi_0(x_n),$$

where $\varphi_0(x) = \text{Tr}(\rho_0 \otimes |\ell\rangle\langle\ell|x)$. Moreover, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is extendable to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\rho$ by the same formula (4.23).

Example 4.12. We consider the example given in section 12.1 of [8]. In our notation this example is given by $\Lambda = \{1, 2\}$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$ (with canonical basis (e_1, e_2)) and transitions are given by

$$B_1^1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \quad B_2^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{p} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B_2^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{q} \end{pmatrix} \quad B_1^2 = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

where $q = 1 - p \in (0, 1)$, $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = |c|^2 + |d|^2 = 1$, $0 < |a|^2, |c|^2 < 1$.

1. Denote

$$\tilde{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2| =: \rho_0 \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2|.$$

One can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\rho}) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^2 B_j^i \otimes |i\rangle\langle j| ((\rho_0 \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2|) B_j^{i*} \otimes |j\rangle\langle i|) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 B_2^i \rho_0 B_2^{i*} \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|. \end{aligned}$$

Due to $B_2^1 \rho_0 B_2^{1*} = 0$, $B_2^2 \rho_0 B_2^{2*} = \rho_0$, we conclude that $\tilde{\rho}$ is an invariant state for $\mathcal{M}$. Hence, the transition expectation (4.17) corresponding to $\tilde{\rho}$ has the following form

$$(4.24) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x \otimes y) = \varphi_0(x) \sum_{i=1}^2 M_2^{i*} y M_2^i,$$

where $\varphi_0(x) = \text{Tr}(\rho_0 \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2|x)$.

Take any projection $e \in M_0$. Then from (4.24) and (3.5) one can calculate that

$$E_{0|}(\tau_\infty^n) = (\varphi_0(e^\perp))^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2|, \quad \mathcal{E}(e \otimes E_{0|}(\tau_\infty^n)) = \varphi_0(e) (\varphi_0(e^\perp))^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2|.$$

Hence, we conclude that e is $\mathcal{E}$ -completely accessible iff $\mathcal{E}$ -recurrent iff

$$(4.25) \quad \varphi_0(e^\perp) < 1.$$

Similarly, due to Corollary 4.11, we have $\tilde{\varphi}(\tau_\infty^n) = (\varphi_0(e^\perp))^{n+1}$. Consequently, we infer that e is $\mathcal{E}$ -recurrent iff $\tilde{\varphi}$ -recurrent.

2. Now consider an other initial state

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| + \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2|.$$

We assume that $c = 0$. One can see that $B_1^2 \rho_0 B_1^{2*} = 0$, $B_1^1 \rho_0 B_1^{1*} = a^2 \rho_0$. Hence, we conclude that

$$B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n} \cdots B_{i_1}^{i_2} B_{i_0}^{i_1} \rho_0 B_{i_0}^{i_1*} B_{i_1}^{i_2*} \cdots B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n} = 0,$$

if there is $k_0 \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that $i_{k_0} \neq i_{k_0-1}$.

Assume that $e^\perp = p \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|$. Then from (4.22) one finds

$$\varphi_k(e^\perp) = \text{Tr}(\rho_0 p) \delta_{k1}, \quad k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(\tau_\infty^n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \text{Tr} \left(B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n} \cdots B_{i_1}^{i_2} B_{i_0}^{i_1} \rho_0 B_{i_0}^{i_1*} B_{i_1}^{i_2*} \cdots B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n} \right) \varphi_{i_0}(e^\perp) \cdots \varphi_{i_n}(e^\perp) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} a^{2n} (\text{Tr}(\rho_0 p))^n. \end{aligned}$$

So, if either $|a| < 1$ or $|a| = 1$ and $\text{Tr}(\rho_0 p) < 1$ then e is $\tilde{\varphi}$ -completely accessible. Similarly, one gets

$$\tilde{\varphi}(J_0(e) \otimes \tau_\infty^n) = \frac{1}{2} a^{2n} (1 - \text{Tr}(\rho_0 p)) (\text{Tr}(\rho_0 p))^n.$$

Hence, we infer that if $\text{Tr}(\rho_0 p) \neq 1$, then e is $\tilde{\varphi}$ -completely accessible iff $\tilde{\varphi}$ -recurrent. Otherwise, e is $\tilde{\varphi}$ -recurrent, but not $\tilde{\varphi}$ -complete accessible if $|a| = 1$.

Now using (4.21) we infer that

$$E_{0|}(\tau_\infty^n) = \begin{pmatrix} |a|^{2n} & 0 \\ 0 & |b|^{2n} \end{pmatrix} \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| (\text{Tr}(\rho_0 p))^n$$

hence one concludes that e is $\mathcal{E}$ -completely accessible iff $\tilde{\varphi}$ -completely accessible. By the same argument, we find that e is $\mathcal{E}$ -recurrent iff $\tilde{\varphi}$ -recurrent.

Now assume that $e = p \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|$ and $a = 1$. Then from (4.22) one finds

$$\varphi_k(e^\perp) = 1 - \text{Tr}(\rho_0 p) \delta_{k1}, \quad k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(\tau_\infty^n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \text{Tr} \left(B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n} \cdots B_{i_1}^{i_2} B_{i_0}^{i_1} \rho_0 B_{i_0}^{i_1*} B_{i_1}^{i_2*} \cdots B_{i_{n-1}}^{i_n} \right) \varphi_{i_0}(e^\perp) \cdots \varphi_{i_n}(e^\perp) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ((1 - \text{Tr}(\rho_0 p))^n + 1), \end{aligned}$$

this means that $\tilde{\varphi}(\tau_\infty) = 1/2$, so e is not $\tilde{\varphi}$ -completely accessible.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A. Dhahri acknowledges support by the NRF grant.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Accardi, On noncommutative Markov property, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **8** (1975), 1–8.
- [2] L. Accardi, Local Perturbations of Conditional Expectations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **72**(1979), 34–69.
- [3] L. Accardi, F. Fidaleo, F. Mukhamedov, Markov states and chains on the CAR algebra, *Inf. Dim. Analysis, Quantum Probab. Related Topics* **10** (2007), 165–183.
- [4] L. Accardi, A. Frigerio, Markovian cocycles, *Proc. Royal Irish Acad.* **83A** (1983) 251–263.
- [5] L. Accardi, D. Koroliuk. Stopping times for quantum Markov chains, *Journ. Theor. Probab.* Vol. 5, no. 3, pp 521–535, 1992.
- [6] L. Accardi, D. Koroliuk. Quantum Markov chains: The recurrence problem. In book: *Quantum Prob. and Related Topics VII*, Springer, Berlin, 1991, 6373.
- [7] L. Accardi, G.S. Watson, Quantum random walks, In book: *Quantum Prob. and Related Topics IV*, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 7388.
- [8] S. Attal, F. Petruccione, C. Sabot, I. Sinayskiy. Open Quantum Random Walks. *J. Stat. Phys.* **147** (2012), 832–852.
- [9] S. Attal, N. Guillotin-Plantard, C. Sabot. Central Limit Theorems for Open Quantum Random Walks and Quantum Measurement Records, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **16** (2015), 15–43.
- [10] P. Biane, L. Bouten, F. Cipriani, N. Konno, N. Privault, Q. Xu. *Quantum Potential Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1954 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.
- [11] R. Carbone, Y. Pautrat. Homogeneous open quantum random walks on a lattice, *J. Stat. Phys.* **160**(2015), 1125–1153.
- [12] R. Carbone, Y. Pautrat. Open quantum random walks: reducibility, period, ergodic properties. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **17**(2016), 99–135.
- [13] M. Fannes, B. Nachtergaele, R.F. Werner, Finitely correlated states of quantum spin chains, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **144** (1992), 443–490.

- [14] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, vol. 1. Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [15] F. Fagnola, R. Rebolledo. Transience and recurrence of quantum Markov semigroups. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **126** (2003), 289306.
- [16] J. Kempe, Quantum randm walks: an introductory overview, *Contemp. Phys.* **44**(2003) 307-327.
- [17] N. Konno, H. J. Yoo. Limit Theorems for Open Quantum Random Walks. *J. Stat. Phys.* **150**(2013), 299-319.
- [18] C. F. Lardizabal, R. R. Souza, On a class of quantum channels, open random walks and recurrence, *J. Stat. Phys.* **159** (2015), 772-796.
- [19] C. F. Lardizabal, R. R. Souza, Open Quantum Random Walks: Ergodicity, Hitting Times, Gamblers Ruin and Potential Theory, *J. Stat. Phys.* DOI 10.1007/s10955-016-1578-9
- [20] P.-A. Meyer, *Quantum probability for probabilists*. Lecture notes in mathematics 1538, Springer, Berlin 1993.
- [21] Y.M. Park, H.H. Shin, Dynamical entropy of generalized quantum Markov chains over infinite dimensional algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997), 6287–6303.
- [22] C. Pellegrini. Continuous Time Open Quantum Random Walks and Non-Markovian Lindblad Master Equations. *J. Stat. Phys.* **154**(2014), 838-865.

AMEUR DHAHRI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CHUNGDAE-RO, SEOWON-GU, CHEONGJU, CHUNGBUK 362-763, KOREA

E-mail address: ameur@chungbuk.ac.kr

FARRUKH MUKHAMEDOV, DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTATIONAL & THEORETICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA, P.O. BOX, 141, 25710, KUANTAN, PAHANG, MALAYSIA

E-mail address: far75m@yandex.ru, farrukh.m@iiu.edu.my